

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: David W. Farchmin
Serial No.: 10/800,285
Filed: March 12, 2004
Title: Juxtaposition Based Machine Addressing
Art Unit: 2154
Confirm: 1291
Examiner: Goodchild, William J.
Our Ref.: 110003.00026.03AB047

Commissioner for Patents
PO Box 1450,
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Box: Amendment

Dear Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated April 9, 2010 in the above referenced case, please enter the following remarks.

Remarks

The paragraph numbers hereafter match Office Action paragraph numbers that they are associated with. The Office Action includes two general parts including a 103 claim rejection section and a Response to Arguments section. The previous response to Office Action addressed the 103 claim rejection section and therefore we do not provide those arguments again here despite the fact that all of those arguments are sound and will be represented in the future. The following remarks address the Response to Arguments section of the Office Action. Generally the Office Action rejected all of the claims as obvious over Dolin in view of Richardson. Prior to addressing the specific matters raised by the Examiner, Applicant makes the following general comments about the prior art references.